Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/564,317	KIKKOJI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	NAM HUYNH	2617
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>NAM HUYNH</u> .	(3)	
(2) BRIAN EPSTEIN.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6/2/10.		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)[2] No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bowman and Liu</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Proposed amandments appear to overcome the 112 rejection(s) and prior art but</u> regular a further search and consideration.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no o allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04), If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAIL MOD GATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATAMAPT FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
/Nam: Huynh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)